Previous references.

√
1903-04
(police)

File No. 400f03-04

Serial Nos. 165-B

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 165

Part B. for

SUBJECT.

Delay in replying inquiries from the Residency re the exemption of Mr Martin from the provisions of the Arms Act.

4th a. The A G Resident in Mysore (J 1504 No 1576 Dated 26-3-04.

Requests that necessary instructions may be issued to District officers to prevent the occurrence of delay in answering to enquiries from the Residency, as was the case with a report from the Dist Magte Kolar

1
G. R. S. 58 1 Dist Magte Kol [illegible]

Calls for the explanation of the Case as to the delay in submitting to the Residency a report, whether Mr Martin was a [illegible] under the Indian Arms Act

All the Dist. Magts.

No. 2256-64 Dated 26.4.04

Issues necessary instructions to Dist. officers to prevent the occurance of delay in replying enquiries from the Residency

From

The Dist. Magte. Kolar (E)

No 1564 Dt 3.5.04

Submits a report on the delay in replying from the Residency the Reference, re the exemption of Dr. Muralis from the provision of the Indian Arms Act—

To

The I.G. Resident

No 2427 Dated 16.5.04

With reference to yr. Note stating that necessary instructions have been issued to all Dist. officers and the delay of the reply in question was partly due to the absence of the then D.C. from Head Quarters & partly to the ~~transmission~~ passage of the paper through several offices for obtaining enquiries—

Serial 1 & 2 D

File no. 1008/02-03/1503.
Vol 1

1902-3

From

THE FIRST ASSISTANT TO THE
HON'BLE THE RESIDENT
IN MYSORE.

To Pol: 1516

THE DEWAN OF MYSORE.

Dated 26. 3. 04

Despatched

Received

General No. 1576

No.

Enclosure No.

Requests that necessary instructions may be issued to District Officers to prevent the occurrence of delays in answering to enquiries from the Residency.

References to be marked.

vol As per Dewan's order Then, 2 drafts noted; one, a second order, & the other, an order thereon & Resolution

Submitted to the Dewan

6/4/04

4/4

R. P. B.—12-03.—1,000.

No. 1576.

FROM

R. C. H. MOSS. KING, ESQ., I. C. S.,
FIRST ASSISTANT TO THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

The Dewan of Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 26th March 1904.

SIR,

On an application from Mr. Martile, Assistant Station Master, Bowringpet, for a license to export a rifle from Madras, the District Magistrate of Kolar was asked on the 19th January last to report whether that gentleman was an exempted person under the provisions of the Indian Arms Act. Mr. Carr's reply to this enquiry was not received in this office until the 19th February 1904.

2. I am to bring to the notice of the Durbar the delay in the submission of this reply which, considering the position held by Mr. Martile appears to the Resident to be unreasonable, and to request that necessary instructions may be issued to District Officers to prevent the occurrence of such delays in future.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

First Assistant Resident.

L.R.

File no: 409 03
Sr. 2

No: 2254-DS-26/4/24

Order

It has been brought to the notice of Govt that an an application from Mr Martile, Assist-ant Station Master, Bowringpet, for a licence to export a rifle from Madras, the District Magte of Kolar was asked by the Joint Assistant to the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore on the 19th January last to report whether that Gentleman was an exempted person under the provisions of the Indian Arms Act and that Mr Lewis's ~~replied~~ reply & enquiry was not the Residency "

The 19th ;

Govt ob

the delay

& the Di

The submission
of this reply to the
Residency was unreasonable, considering
the District Magistrate
is therefore requested
to explain the reason
for the delay at a
very early date.

22/4/04

19.4.04

4.4.04 Secretary

To
The Dt Magte, Kola
District.

Mr F.E.C. Carr, Cal to Com & Dt Magte,
Kolar Dt, 2nd copy

File No. 1600/0306
Sr. 3

Are such direct enquiries in accordance with standing orders? If they are the order may be issued.

7/4/04

There are no standing orders on the subject, to the best of my knowledge.

The Residency sometimes writes to our Officers direct but this is done on very rare occasions.

I am of the humble opinion that the draft may issue.

7/4/04

Revised by Mr. V

Draft

No. 2256-64 26/4/04

Order

An Instance has been brought to the notice of Govt in which a Reference from the Dist. Asstt to the Resident in Nyasa as to whether a Gentleman named was an exempted person under the provisions of the Indian Arms Act, was not replied to by the District Magistrate to whom it was addressed till one month after the date of the reference. Govt observe that such delays are unreasonable, & cause inconvenience, and that District Officers ought to do their best

I have no objection tho' I am still in doubt as to the correctness of the procedure.

10/4/04

Submitted to the Dewan

11/4/04

11/4/04

17/24
11.04

15

Warrior Recurrence
of the dance in future -

Ellen Terry 22/4/04

Print. 4
182 63
19.4.04

To Ada Rehan -

Read from Glandon Hall 22.11.04

The Lyceum Theatre in Bygone -

File no 69/03 of 04
Sl. 4

5½ 9·1665

1904

FROM

K. O'Reilly Connaughton
District Magistrate
Hooghly District

To

L. Archbold Esq, I.C.S.
Offg. Secretary to the Govern-
-ment of Bengal Political
Department Simla

Dated 3rd May 1904

Despatched

Received

General No.
No. 6187

Enclosure No.

Reporting on the delay
in replying the reference
re: the Exemption of cer-
-tain articles from the provi-
-sions of the Indian Arms
Act.

Office of the District Magistrate
Kolar District
Champion Reefs 3rd May 1904

From,

K. P. Puttanna Chetty Esqre
District Magistrate
Kolar District.

To,

L. Devanarayanaiah Esqre B.A.
Offg: Secretary to the Government
of Mysore - General and Revenue -
Departments.

Sir,

With reference to Government Proceedings No. 2254-5 dated 26th April 1904, calling for an explanation as to the delay in replying to the reference from the Residency, enquiring whether one Mr. W. H. Mantle belongs to the class of persons exempt from the provisions of the Indian Arms Act, I have the honor to state, that the said reference was received in this office on the 20th January 1904, and forwarded to the Amildar of Bowringpet on the 22nd idem for enquiry and very early report. The reply from the Taluk was received on the 4th

February 1904 and the then District Magistrate Mr. F. Co. Co. Cam being at the time engaged in Jamabandy work in the Murbagal Taluk, the reference was sent to his Camp ~ and the reply was despatched on the 17th idem. On going through the Taluk report it is observed that the reference was received by the Amildar of Bowringpet on the 23rd January and the reply was sent on the 8th February. During this interval of 17 days the reference has passed through the Taluk Office, the Police Inspector's Office and Bowringpet Town Police Station in the usual course of enquiries to be instituted on such references, with a delay of one or two days in each Office in transmission. The Amildar and Police of Bowringpet will be warned to be more punctual and prompt in replying to such references.

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant
W. H. McCaw Campbell
District & Sessions Judge

File no 40 of 03-04
Serial 5

Draft called to the Durbar
for appl 4th 5/5/04.

17595
9.5.04.

2427
J
14.5.04

To.

The First Assistant
to the Honble the
Resident in Mysore

Dated
No.

Sir,

Adverting to your
letter No 1576 dated the 26th
March 1904, bringing to notice
the delay on the part of the
Dy Magte of Kolar, in replying
to the enquiry from your
office, as to whether Mr.
Mantle, Assistant Station
Master, Bowringpet was
an exempted person under
the provisions of the
Arms Act and requesting
that necessary instructions
may be issued to the
District officers, to prevent
the occurrence of such
delays, I am directed to
~~forward herewith for~~ state for
the information of the
Honble the Resident, ~~a~~
~~copy of letter No C.1569~~

~~dated the 22nd May 1904~~
~~from the District Magte~~
~~Kolar, from which it~~
~~will be seen~~ that the
delay referred to was
partly due to the reference
having to pass through
several offices in the
course of the enquiries which had
to be instituted ~~on~~
~~such references~~, and
partly to the then
Dy Magte Mr. F.E.b. Barr
having been at the time
the reply to the enquiry was received
from the Taluk, engaged
on Jamabundy work.
~~2. A copy of the Proc.~~
2 the Durbar No 2256-64
~~dated 26th April 1904~~
~~during~~ Instructions have been issued
to Dl officers to prevent
the occurrence of delays
of the kind brought to
notice, ~~is discussed~~.

18502
10.V.04

I have etc

12/5/04

Secy to Govt
12/5/04

Offg. Secretary

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Judicial, dated 26th April 1904.

No. 2256-64—J., DATED BANGALORE, 26TH APRIL 1904.

ORDER.—An instance has been brought to the notice of Government in which a reference from the First Assistant to the Resident in Mysore as to whether a gentleman named was an exempted person under the provisions of the Indian Arms Act, was not replied to by the District Magistrate to whom it was addressed till one month after the date of the reference. Government observe that such delays are unreasonable, and cause inconvenience, and that District Officers ought to do their best to avoid any recurrence of the same in future.

L. ANANTASAMI RAO,
Offg. Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

To—All the District Magistrates.
The Inspector General of Police in Mysore.

1903-04

GOVERNMENT OF
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

JUDICIAL

PROCEEDINGS

26th April 1904

No. 2256-64
J.

Issuing necessary instructions to District Officers to prevent the occurrence of delay in replying enquiries from the Residency.